UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,444	10/09/2003	Patrick C. St. Germain	SSS-106	9792
OLSON & HIE	7590 07/17/200 RL, LTD.	8	EXAM	INER
36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
Chicago, IL 606	/ -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/682,444	GERMAIN, PATRI	GERMAIN, PATRICK C. ST.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721		
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMU of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I will, by statute, cause the application to becom	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this core abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	tb)☐ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal m	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or to the drawing(s) be held in abe the correction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received i of the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)	

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hermach (U.S. Patent No. 3,942,782).

Hermach discloses an apparatus for interfolding at least two sheets of material for forming a web of interfolded sheets of material, the apparatus comprising: a) at least two dispensers preforming sheets of material (Fig. 2; via 26A-26C and 22A-22C) to provide at least one longitudinally extending fold line and at least one fold respectively; and b) an interfolder downstream from said dispensers and comprising a pair of co-acting folding rolls (Fig. 2; via folding rollers 38) for receiving there-between preformed sheet material from each of the dispensers and producing interfolded sheets of material (via receiving the longitudinal folded sheets to further fold the sheets cross wise; column 5, lines 9-14).

Note that broadly considering the claimed "interfolder" for producing "interfolded sheets of material" could be nothing more than folding the folded sheets stacked on top of each other to form the claimed "interfold", see for example (Fig. 5 and column 5, lines 9-14; via stacked folded sheets <u>further folded cross wise</u> by the folding rollers 38 to form the interfold).

Regarding claim 14: knife roll to cut the sheets (Fig. 2; via cutting cylinder 37).

Regarding claim 16: wherein the dispensers are folding boards (Fig. 2; via former folders 26A-26C and 22A-22C).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 13-16 alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmler (U.S. Patent No. 5,088,707) in view of Hermach (U.S. Patent No. 3,942,782).

Stemmler discloses an apparatus for interfolding at least two sheets of material for forming a web of interfolded sheets of material, the apparatus comprising at least two dispensers providing sheets of material (Figs. 1-3; via W1 and W2); an inter-folder downstream from the dispensers and comprising a pair of folding rollers for receiving preformed sheet material from each of the dispensers and producing interfolded sheets of material (Figs. 1-3; via folding rollers 12 and 13).

Stemmler does not disclose performing dispensers to provide at least one longitudinally extending fold line and at least one fold respectively. However, Hermach discloses a similar apparatus comprising means for dispensing two different sheets and longitudinally folding the sheets (Fig. 2; via 26A-26C and 22A-22C).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Stemmler's folding apparatus by having the longitudinal folds to the sheets, as suggested by Hermach, in order to improve the apparatus for

lines 1-4).

associating and folding the respective sections of multi sectioned, bulky newspapers (column 2,

Page 4

Regarding claim 13: Stemmler discloses that the sheets of material respectively include a

plurality of spaced apart transversely extending perforation lines (column 2, lines 50-52).

Regarding claim 14: Stemmler discloses that knife rolls between the dispensers and the

folding rolls for clean cutting the interfolded sheets of material (Figs. 1-3; via cutting rollers 30).

Regarding claim 15: Stemmler discloses that perforating rolls perforating the interfolded

sheets of material (column 12, lines 21 and 22).

Regarding claim 16: Stemmler does not disclose that the dispensers are folding boards.

However, Hermach discloses a similar apparatus with having the dispensers serving as folding

boards (Fig. 2; via 26A-26C and 22A-22C).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to have modified Stemmler's folding apparatus by having the dispenser

being folding boards, as suggested by Hermach, in order to improve the apparatus for associating

and folding the respective sections of multi sectioned, bulky newspapers (column 2, lines 1-4).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmler (U.S.

Patent No. 5,088,707) in view of Hermach (U.S. Patent No. 3,942,782) as applied to claim 11

above, and further in view of DuFresne (U.S. Patent No. 4,824,426).

Stemmler in view of Hermach do not disclose the claimed double "c" shaped fold as

described in page 3 lines 29-31 and page 4, lies 1 and 2 of the filed specification of the invention.

However, DuFresne discloses such "c" shaped folds as seen in Fig. 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Stemmler in view of Hermach folding apparatus, by folding means to fold the sheet to the double fold "c" type, as suggested by DuFresne, in order to provide a starter tab for manual grasping to remove the web segments from a container (column 1, lines 66-68).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/27/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the applied reference of Hermach '782 does not disclose an apparatus for interfolding, no interfold done by '782. The examiner maintains that the claimed "interfold" is not a scientific known term, further the way it is been described in the filed specification nothing more than forming a longitudinal fold to continuous sheet and further forming another transverse fold (filed specification, page 4, lines 15-22).

Therefore, as been disclosed above in the rejection broadly considering the claimed "interfold" in light of the way it is been identified in the filed specification is nothing more than forming a longitudinal fold on sheets and further forming a transverse fold along the longitudinal folds, which is exactly been disclosed and shown in '782.

In response to applicant's argument of the alternative 103 rejection, that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

Art Unit: 3721

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the examiner maintains that both applied references of '707 and 782 are related to the same art of folding continuous sheet, which make it obvious to use one's teaching and suggestions to improve the other. *Note that the examiner has provided a motivation to combine the references as been disclosed above in the action*.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,444 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721

ST.